

**ARTICLE 11.07 FILED AND SET ISSUES**

**NO ARTICLE 11.07 APPLICATIONS WERE FILED AND SET FOR  
SUBMISSION ON THE WEEK OF JUNE 27, 2018**

## **ALPHABETICAL LISTING WITHOUT ISSUES**

<b><u>WRIT NO.</u></b>	<b><u>NAME</u></b>	<b><u>DATE FILED AND SET</u></b>
WR-77,231-10	ALVAREZ, ERNESTO GARCIA	10/04/2017
WR-86,111-01	CHAMBERLAIN, MICHAEL A.	09/27/2017
WR-84,091-01	CHANEY, STEVEN MARK	03/01/2017
WR-68,051-03	CHAVEZ, ALFREDO	03/21/2018
WR-87,660-01	LALONDE, KRISTOPHER J.	03/21/2018
WR-85,833-01	MCGREGOR, EDWARD G.	11/22/2017
WR-81,480-02	OWENS, WILLIAM	09/13/2017
WR-87,190-02	SAUCEDO, ANDREW M.	05/02/2018
WR-87,881-01	SKINNER, GREGORY ALLEN	03/21/2018

## **NUMERICAL LISTING WITH FILED AND SET ISSUES**

**WR-68,051-03                      CHAVEZ, ALFREDO                      03/21/2018**

Whether trial and appellate counsel were ineffective for not arguing that Applicant's due process rights were violated because he was shackled during trial.

**WR-77,231-10                      ALVAREZ, ERNESTO GARCIA                      10/04/2017**

According to the Board of Pardons and Paroles's policy, "[T]o be released to mandatory supervision, an offender must be eligible to be released on all offenses."

Whether the policy of not "releasing" a prisoner to mandatory supervision on one concurrent sentence until the prisoner is "eligible for release" on all concurrent sentences is legal, as applied to Applicant's case.

Whether this Court's decisions in *Ex parte Forward*, 258 S.W.3d 151 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008), and *Ex parte Williams*, 257 S.W.3d 711 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008), apply to Applicant's case.

**WR-81,480-02                      OWENS, WILLIAM                      09/13/2017**

Whether the State failed to disclose exculpatory evidence and trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance.

**WR-84,091-01                      CHANEY, STEVEN MARK                      03/01/2017**

Whether Applicant has established that he is actually innocent, material false evidence was presented at his trial, the State failed to disclose evidence in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and he is entitled to relief under Article 11.073 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**WR-85,833-01                      MCGREGOR, EDWARD GEORGE                      11/22/2017**

Whether the State violated *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and failed to disclose favorable evidence material to guilt or punishment.

**WR-86,111-01                      CHAMBERLAIN, MICHAEL A.                      09/27/2017**

Whether Applicant is entitled to relief when he was led to believe the plea agreement was for a third degree felony but he was orally admonished by the trial court that the punishment range was for a second degree felony.

**WR-87,190-02                      SAUCEDO, ANDREW MELCHOR                      05/02/2018**

Whether Applicant's plea was involuntary when he was charged with and pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance in Penalty Group 1 but in fact possessed a controlled substance in Penalty Group 2.

**WR-87,660-01**

**LALONDE, KRISTOPHER JOSEPH**

**03/21/2018**

Whether the State violated Applicant's due process rights by not disclosing that a witness committed aggravated perjury and whether Applicant's conviction should be set aside given the witness's perjury in Applicant's case and other cases.

**WR-87,881-01**

**SKINNER, GREGORY ALLEN**

**03/21/2018**

Whether Applicant's conviction for unauthorized possession of a firearm by a felon should be set aside because in the predicate felony alleged in the indictment Applicant was placed on deferred adjudication probation and had not been adjudicated guilty.